

[Illegible handwritten text, possibly a ledger or account book]

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: West Visayas State University Pototan Campus
 Date of Self Assessment: Aug 23 2024

Name of Evaluator: J. N. N. N.
 Position: _____

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation Form)
PILLAR I. COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK					
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Procurement Method					
1	(a) Percentage of public bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	95.56%	3.00		PMRs
2	(b) Percentage of public bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	78.57%	3.00		PMRs
	Sub-Total		3.00		
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement					
3	(a) Percentage of Shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	2.75%	3.00		PMRs
4	(b) Percentage of Negotiated Procurement in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
5	(c) Percentage of Direct Contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	1.69%	2.00		PMRs
6	(d) Percentage of Repeat Order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
7	(e) Percentage of Limited Source contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
8	(f) Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Not Compliant	0.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR
	Sub-Total		2.33		
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process					
9	(a) Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	4.27	2.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
10	(b) Average number of bidders who submitted bids	2.64	1.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
11	(c) Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	2.32	2.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
12	(d) Sufficient period to prepare bids	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
	Sub-Total		2.00		
	Average I		2.44		
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY					
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations					
13	(a) Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
14	(b) Creation of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
	Sub-Total		3.00		
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation					
15	(a) APP is prepared for all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)
Indicator 6. Use of Philippine Government Electronic Procurement System (PhilGEPS)					
16	(a) Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	117.86%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
17	(b) Percentage of contract award information posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
18	(c) Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the Phil-GEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
	Sub-Total		3.00		
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information					
19	(a) Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	0.00		Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specific website links
20	(b) Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the prescribed format, prompt submission to GPPB, and posting in agency website	Substantially Compliant	2.00		Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB
	Sub-Total		1.00		
	Average II		2.50		
PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES					
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes					
21	(a) Percentage of total amount of contracts awarded against total amount of approved APPs	92.08%	3.00		APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs



1. 1954

2. 1955

3. 1956

The following table shows the results of the survey conducted in 1954, 1955, and 1956. The data is presented in three columns corresponding to the years mentioned in the headers. The rows represent different categories of data, which are mostly illegible due to the low contrast and blurriness of the document. The table appears to be a summary of survey results, possibly related to agricultural or demographic data, given the context of the years and the structured layout.